

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,972		11/18/2003	Rabah Boukherroub	44768-A	4624
2048	7590	10/06/2006		EXAMINER	
		ALE BAKER	HAQ, SHAFIQUL		
BOX 3432, STATION D OTTAWA, ON K1P 6N9				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA				1641	
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/714,972	BOUKHERROUB ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Shafiqul Haq	1641						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	٧.						
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	arch 2004.							
,	action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-10,14-22 and 26-52</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
·								
8) Claim(s) <u>1,5-10,14-22 and 26-52</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election requir	ement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
, <u> </u>	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ul>							
<u> </u>								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau	· ·	·						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.						
	·							
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								
		•						

Application/Control Number: 10/714,972

Art Unit: 1641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1 and 5-9, drawn to a method for immobilizing a desired molecule on a silicon substrate, classified in class 423, subclass 325.
  - II. Claims 10, 14-21 and 44-52, drawn to a silicon substrate and method for providing a coupling group on a silicon substrate, classified in class 436, subclass 527.
  - III. Claims 22 and 26-43, drawn to a method for immobilizing a desired molecule on a silicon substrate, classified in class 436, subclass 72.

The above groups represent general areas wherein the inventions are independent and distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of 1) group I and 2) each of group II-III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case group I is a method for immobilizing a desired molecule on a silicon substrate comprising attaching desired molecule attached to Si-H of silicon substrate. Inventions of groups II-III require silicon substrate having a linker molecule possessing coupling groups (e.g. NHS), which is not required by the method of group I.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,972

Art Unit: 1641

- 3. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process of group III be practiced with a product different from the product of group II, for example, biomolecules can be immobilized to silicon substrate having Si-H surface or a Si-H surface functionalized with ACP (1-amino-3-cyclopentene).
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. In addition, the search for each of the distinct inventions of Groups I-IV is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference that would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the condition for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it will be an undue burden to examine all the inventive Groups in one application.
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered

for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more

Application/Control Number: 10/714,972 Page 5

Art Unit: 1641

of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied

by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(1)

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shafigul Haq whose telephone number is 571-272-

6103. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHAFFQUL HAQ

EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1641

LONG V. LE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**ART UNIT 1641**